Scaling Improvement Work in Secondary Schools

April 4-5, 2018



New Visions Through Five Stages











1989

The Beginning

Founded by Richard I. Beattie with visionary seed funding from the Carnegie Corporation to mobilize private support to enable innovation in New York City's public schools.

1993-2007

School Creation

Created 135 small elementary and high schools, almost 10% of New York City's public school system. Independent evaluation by MDRC found that small high schools increased graduation rates by 10 percentage points and decreased the achievement gap by nearly half.

2007-

School Management

Invited by Mayor and Chancellor to manage a network of schools. Today, New Visions serves as lead partner to 69 public schools with over 40,000 students, a greater share of whom are low income, minority, or have special needs than those in public schools district-wide.

2011-

Charter School Creation

State-authorized as a charter management organization in 2011, today we operate ten charter public high schools in underserved neighborhoods, including two charter transfer schools serving the highest-challenge students in New York City's high schools.

2013-

Scalable Innovation

Focused on developing scalable solutions for school improvement, including creating open-source core curriculum used by 1000's of teachers in NY State and data / management tools used by over 300 NYC schools.



System	"[D]iverse people actually work together to direct their specialized capabilities toward common goals for patients [students]. They are coordinated by design." - Atul Gawande
--------	---

- Schools rely heavily on weak systems for tracking student progress towards outcomes, assigning students to activities and monitoring progress
- Inefficiency, lack of intentionality, and human error in these systems undermines impact both of existing activities and more ambitious work
- Weak infrastructure makes it difficult to see what has transpired and even more difficult to understand the relationship of activities to outcomes
- This means school systems generally have no scalable and reliable way of becoming smarter from year-to-year

Without Robust Systems, We Observed Wide Variation in Performance





In School A, 100% (5 of 5) students who began the year with 30+ credits and 2 Regents graduated





Analyzing the school operations and performance of a single cohort can be overwhelming. In a small high school of 425 students there are:

30,000	Lessons Taught Annually	
9,000	Possible Regents Administrations	
4,708	Credits Toward Graduation To Track	
4,494	Marking Period Grades Per Year	
300	Individual Course Codes And Titles	
250	Teacher Team Meeting Notes & Reflections	
200	Annual Teacher Observations	

...And Still We Need to See *Every* Student to Ensure Reliable Support







- Descriptive data revealed weaknesses in systems but information for improving decision making and management unavailable in real time
- Weaknesses in systems at individual schools was a driver of unintentional variation in practice related to outcomes
- Large investments in data infrastructure for accountability not matched with investments in management tools
- Schools lack the capacity to adequately address systemic limitations in access to timely and appropriately organized data



	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-17	2017-18
Processing Time	20-50 days	3-8 days	1-2 days	1 day	Overnight (automated)
Update Frequency	3x / year	6x / year	40x / year	50-100x / year	Daily or multiple times per day
Tools	Internal presentations	Student Sorter and related tools; interactive dashboards for NV staff	Student Sorter and related tools; Interactive dashboards for NV and district staff	Student Sorter and related tools; Interactive dashboards for NV and district staff	Tools within data portal move from descriptive to analytic
Primary Technology	STATA, Excel, Powerpoint	STATA, Google Apps Scripts, Tableau	STATA, Redshift, Google Apps Scripts, Tableau	STATA, R, Redshift, Mongo, Google Apps Scripts, Node, Tableau	R, Python, Redshift, Mongo, Google Apps Scripts, Node, Tableau, d3



- We built tools to aggregate real time student information, but only a few schools used them regularly
- The goal is to routinize and ensure a baseline of quality in how key planning tasks are executed in schools
- This enables deeper improvement work by generating momentum through increased outcomes and increasing reliability of administrative planning
- We concluded that a more structured and explicit approach to building new routines was needed our response was Strategic Data Check-Ins



Strategic Data Check-ins (SDC) Protocol-driven conversations between New Visions staff and school leadership teams that support decision-making based on data, not anecdote or conjecture or inertia.



As We Have Developed Those Routines, We Have Built Our Tools Around Them



wizard | grid | profile

E School for Teaching & Learning -- Graduation Planning

Assign Target Graduation Plans for Class of 2018

- 1 Purpose & Instructions
- 2 Review Safety Net Eligibility
- 3 Review Graduation Planning Metric
- 4 Assign plans to students on target for a June Advanced Regents Diploma
- 5 Assign plans to students on target for a June Regents Diploma
- 6 Assign plans to students on target for a June Local Diploma
- Assign plans to students on target for an August Advanced Regents Diploma
- Assign plans to students on target for an August Regents Diploma

Active class of 2018 students are considered on target for a **Regents Diploma in August** of their fourth year if they have **0+ of 5 Regents @ 65** and **21+ credits** at the start of this school year.

Based on this criteria, **3 students** have been identified as on target for a Regents Diploma in August.

Of the 3 students:

- <u>3</u> have incomplete grad plans.
- <u>0</u> currently have a Regents Diploma plan for August.
- The other 0 currently have a different graduation plan.

ASSIGN TO 3 STUDENTS

	Total	4 Year June*	4 Year Aug	5 Year	6+ Year
Plan Incomplete	11	eview students	-	-	-
Planned Non- Grad	0 Vie	w in grid	-	-	-
Planned Local	0	U	0	0	0
Planned Regents	61	61	0	0	0
Planned Advanced Regents	40	40	0	0	0
Negative Discharges	2	-	-	-	-
Graduates	0	-	-	-	-
Total	114	101	-	-	-

Planned June 2018 Grad Rate

88.6 %

88.6 %

Planned Aug 2018 Grad Rate

Scaffolded decisionmaking process, but retaining the ability of schools to make group and individual decisions Real-time progress towards completion of key activities and the implications of student-level decisions



- As we began to improve the quality of systems related to student level planning, we noticed continued variation in the capacity of schools to enact plans
- For the past two years, we have supported schools in mapping and intentionally iterating processes related to student supports
- This has created opportunities for schools to learn from one another and accelerate their work strengthening core systems

Administrative

Strategic Data Check-Ins

Standardize primary processes for grouping students, assigning to activities, tracking participation and monitoring milestones

Continuous Improvement 1.0

Improve secondary processes for enacting school level plans related to student assignment and monitoring

Continuous Improvement 2.0

Program Design

Use comprehensive school and network level data on the relationship between activities and outcomes to improve the design of activities





- Schools rely heavily on weak systems for assigning students to activities and monitoring progress
- Inefficiency, error and lack of intentionality in these systems undermines program impact
- Weak infrastructure makes it difficult to see what has transpired and even more difficult to understand the relationship of activities to outcomes
- ? This means school systems generally have no scalable and reliable way of becoming smarter from year-to-year



New Visions' Graduation & College Readiness Rates



Reducing Variability Is a Major Part of That Progress





Achievement Gaps Have Also Closed, While Graduation Rates Have Risen for All







What We've Learned:

- Start with flexible tools to allow for rapid iteration
- Ensure the work addresses immediate felt needs WHILE ALSO increasing data transparency
- Pair "short term" and "long term" drivers of change so that schools see progress from their efforts

What We're Still Learning:

- Our ability to communicate compelling, consistent narrative is critical
- Small details like language make a huge difference (expectation v. plan)
- Simple, consistent routines that build on an understanding of schools' existing routines really matter
- Consistent team structures and clear roles at the school level support independence



Graduation and College Readiness Rates, Class of 2017





